

October 31, 2002

Ms. Larissa T. Roeder Assistant District Attorney Frank Crowley Courts Building, LB 19 Dallas, Texas 75207-4399

OR2002-6196

Dear Ms. Roeder:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 171492.

The Dallas County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a written request for all records pertaining to a certain criminal prosecution. You state that although the district attorney has located its litigation files from the appeal of the criminal conviction and from a subsequent habeas corpus hearing, the district attorney was unable to locate the prosecution file pertaining to the criminal trial, and the submitted information indicates that you have made a good faith effort to locate this file. Consequently, the district attorney is not required to provide this information to the requestor. See Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. of San Antonio v. Bustamante, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.-San Antonio 1978, writ dism'd) (governmental body not required to furnish records not in its possession). You contend that the district attorney's records pertaining to the criminal appeal and the habeas corpus, a representative sample of which you submitted to this office, are excepted from public disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.111 of the Government Code.¹

The submitted information is part of a completed investigation made of, for, or by the district attorney. In this regard, we initially address the applicability of section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides in pertinent part as follows:

¹ We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
 - (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or *investigation* made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108. [Emphasis added.]

The submitted information constitutes a "completed investigation" made public under section 552.022(a)(1). Therefore, the district attorney may withhold the submitted information only if it is made confidential under other law or is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Because you contend that the information at issue is excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to, *inter alia*, section 552.108, we will consider your claims.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in pertinent part as follows:

- (a) [i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of 552.021 if: . . .(4) it is information that: (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.
- (b) [a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of 552.021 if: . . .(3) the internal record or notation: (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.
- (c) This section does not except from the requirements of Section 552.021 information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3), (c). A governmental body that raises section 552.108 must reasonably explain, if the requested information does not supply the explanation on its face, how and why section 552.108 applies to that information. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); see also Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

In Curry v. Walker, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994), the Texas Supreme Court held that a request for a district attorney's "entire litigation file" was "too broad" and, quoting National Union Fire Insurance Company v. Valdez, 863 S.W.2d 458, 460 (Tex. 1993, orig. proceeding), held that "the decision as to what to include in [the file] necessarily reveals the attorney's thought processes concerning the prosecution or defense of the case." Curry, 873 S.W.2d at 380. Because the requestor in this instance seeks all the information contained within the district attorney's litigation files, we conclude that the district attorney may withhold most of the requested information pursuant to section 552.108(a)(4) of the Government Code as attorney work product.²

However, we note that section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. See Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in Houston Chronicle Publishing Company v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, the district attorney must release all basic information contained in the litigation files. See Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of basic information that must be made available to public).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the

²Because we resolve your request under section 552.108, we need not address the applicability of the other exceptions you raised.

governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Denis C. McElroy //
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DCM/RWP/lmt

Ref:

ID# 171492

Enc:

Submitted documents

c:

Ms. Cheryl B. Wattley Law Offices 500 One Turtle Creek Village Dallas, Texas 75219 (w/o enclosures)